arXiv:hep-th/0001214v2 14 Feb 2000

February 14, 2000
KEK-TH-674
YITP-00-6

BPS states carrying fermionic brane charges

Machiko Hatsuda and Makoto Sakaguchi †
Theory Division, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),
Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan
† Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University,
Sakyou-ku, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
mhatsuda@post.kek.jp, sakaguch@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

The Green-Siegel central extension of superalgebras for BPS branes is studied. In these cases commutators of usual bosonic brane charges only with the broken supersymmetry charges allow this central extension. We present an interpretation of these fermionic central charges as fermionic brane charges, and show that they take nonzero values for a nontrivial fermionic boundary condition. Such fermionic coordinates solutions are determined by equations of motion in a suitable gauge condition which manifests Nambu-Goldstone fermionic modes as well as bosonic modes in the static gauge. We also show that some modes of dilatino fields couple with the fermionic brane currents.

PACS: 11.17.+y; 11.30.Pb
Keywords: Superalgebra; SUSY central extension; BPS states; D-brane

1 Introduction

For supersymmetric theories superalgebras are powerful tool to explore non-perturbative aspects about BPS states [1, 2, 3]. About a decade ago Green showed the possibility of the central extension of the Super-Poincaré algebra [4], where the momentum charge does not commute with the supercharge and gives rise to the fermionic central charge[1] :

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = 2(C\not{P})_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad [P_m, Q_\alpha] = (Z\Gamma_m)_\alpha \quad . \tag{1.1}$$

Siegel proposed a good use of this algebra that the Wess-Zumino action of the Green-Schwarz superstring can be obtained as a local bilinear form [5], namely an element of the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology [6]. Recently this idea has been applied to superbrane theories and super-D-brane theories [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. As well as a superstring these objects require the Wess-Zumino actions to include the kappa symmetry. The Wess-Zumino actions for p-branes and D-$p-$branes are higher rank tensors and/or containing U(1) fields, so integrating and obtaining their local expressions are complicated [12, 13]. These complications can be simplified, if Siegel's method is used. As long as the algebra (1.1) tells the truth in this sense, its physical interpretation will be the next question.

It is interesting to compare the global superalgebra with the local superalgebra. In order to realize the global superalgebra one needs the local supersymmetry constraints $F_\alpha = 0$ to remove extra fermionic degrees of freedom. They anticommute with the global supercharges, $\{F, Q\} = 0$ [14, 5]. (Q_α, P_m) are global charges, while (F_α, D_m) are covariant derivatives satisfying following algebras;

$$\{F_\alpha, F_\beta\} = -2(C\not{D})_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad [D_m, F_\alpha] = (W\Gamma_m)_\alpha \quad . \tag{1.2}$$

W is named the super-Yang-Mills field strength [15, 16]. It is also expected that W_α and Z_α are a global charge and a covariant derivative respectively in a larger superspace. In order to see the physical role of Z_α, it is useful to take a concrete model. For a superstring case charges and covariant derivatives are given in terms of space-time coordinates X and θ, for example

$$W_\alpha \Leftrightarrow \bar{\theta}'_\alpha \tag{1.3}$$

where $'$ stands for the derivative with respect to worldsheet spacial coordinate σ. (1.3) suggests that the new fermionic central charges are realized by $Z_\alpha \Leftrightarrow \int \bar{\theta}'_\alpha$. This is a surface term, so nontrivial Z_α will require nontrivial boundary values of the fermionic coordinates.

Bergshoeff and Sezgin applied the Siegel's method to a M2 brane where commutators of supercharges and not only the momentum charge but also brane charges allow fermionic central extension [7]. Further studies have been done for NS1 (IIA,IIB) and D1 [8], for D3, D5 and NS5 [11] and for D2 and M5 [10]. In the work on SL(2,R)≈SO(2,1) covariant central extension [9], the momentum and the NS/NS and R/R brane charges are treated equally as SO(2,1) triplet elements. Then the SO(2,1) triplet fermionic central charges appear. It was shown that there exists the Rarita-Schwinger type constraint on the new fermionic central charges and one of the triplet elements is redundant. In other words, the momentum or the brane charge can be chosen to be super-invariant. In this paper we will consider the super-invariant momentum and the super-noninvariant brane charges. In [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] the super-noninvariant momenta can be realized by introducing auxiliary fields. Instead, in this paper we will examine the super-noninvariant brane charges by introducing a nontrivial fermionic boundary condition without any auxiliary fields.

---

[1] Q, P, Z are a supercharge, a momentum and a fermionic central charge. Γ and $C = i\Gamma^0$ are gamma matrix and a charge conjugation matrix, a slash represents contraction with Γ^m, e.g. $\not{P} = P_m\Gamma^m$.

Organization of the present paper is as follows: In section 2 we discuss the fermionic central extension of the superalgebra from the BPS state point of view. The Jacobi identity of three supercharges restricts that the commutator of the brane charge and the broken supersymmetry charge allows the fermionic central extension. In section 3 we will justify this by examining equations of motion in a suitable gauge condition. We show that there exists nontrivial fermionic solution which can give non-zero values of new fermionic brane charges. In section 4 we will also consider the supergravity coupling with this state. The quantum states and the vertex operators for D-branes are not known because of the difficulty of the soliton quantization. On the other hand classical soliton property may suggest how to couple with the supergravity fields. Since it is supposed that D-branes are static objects, the nonrelativistic approximation will be a good approximation. So we begin by the classical Gauss law equation, then examine its consistency under the broken supersymmetry. The unbroken supersymmetry transformation of a purely bosonic soliton solution has been studied in [18]. Usually, including this reference, the fermionic supergravity fields are set to be zero. However we rather consider nontrivial fermionic supergravity fields. It turns out that there can be nonzero dilatino fields whose some mode couple to the new fermionic brane currents.

2 BPS state with new fermionic brane charges

We begin by a D-string case [19] as a simplest example. The D-string superalgebra is given by[2]

$$\{Q_{A\alpha},Q_{B\beta}\} = -2(C\Xi_G)_{A\alpha\ B\beta} \quad , \quad \Xi_G=\Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\ \Big(\mathbf{1}_{AB}P_m-(\tau_I)_{AB}\Sigma^I_m\Big) \quad . \tag{2.1}$$

Concrete expression of the global supercharges, the total momenta and the brane charges are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{A\alpha} &= \int d\sigma\ [\zeta-\bar{\theta}\not{p}+\bar{\theta}\not{X}'\tau_q-\frac{1}{6}\bar{\theta}\Gamma\tau_q\theta'\cdot\bar{\theta}\Gamma-\frac{1}{6}\bar{\theta}\Gamma\theta'\cdot\bar{\theta}\Gamma\tau_q]_{A\alpha} \quad , \\ P_m &= \int d\sigma\ p_m \quad , \qquad (2.2) \\ \Sigma^I_m &= q^I\int_{\sigma_I}^{\sigma_F} d\sigma\ X'_m = q^I(X_F-X_I)_m \quad , \qquad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

---

[2] Notation follows [19] where (X,θ) are space-time coordinates and their conjugates are (p,ζ).

where $\sigma_{F,I}$ are D-string end points. The massiveness of a D-string is reflected to its infinity length of $\Sigma \sim L|_{L\to\infty}$.

One may notice that there is no way to centrally extend of $[P, Q]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_m, Q] &= \left[\int d\sigma_1\ p_m(\sigma_1), \int d\sigma_2\ \bar{\theta}\not{X}'(\sigma_2)\tau_q\right] \\
&= -\int d\sigma_2\ \partial_2 \left(\int d\sigma_1 \delta(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\right) \bar{\theta}(\sigma_2)\Gamma_m \tau_q = 0 \qquad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\tau_q = q^I \tau_I = q^{NS}\tau_3 + q^R \tau_1$ (I=NS,R or 3,1). On the other hand, the brane charges Σ^I allow to have the central extension in a commutator with the supercharges:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\Sigma^I_m, Q\right] &= -q^I \int d\sigma_1\ \partial_1 \left(\int d\sigma_2 \bar{\theta}(\sigma_2)\Gamma_m \delta(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\right) \\
&= -Z^I \Gamma_m \quad . \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Now Z may be interpreted as a fermionic brane charge

$$Z^I = q^I \int_{\sigma_I}^{\sigma_F} d\sigma\ \bar{\theta}' = q^I(\bar{\theta}_F - \bar{\theta}_I) \quad . \qquad (2.6)$$

This charge will be infinity volume $Z \sim L\bar{\eta}|_{L\to\infty}$ with a constant spinor η, as same as a usual bosonic brane charge because the supertranslation does not change the volume in general.

The superalgebra (2.1) shows that a D-string ground state is BPS saturated where 1/2 supersymmetry are broken. The right hand side of the (2.1) is a projection operator

$$C\Xi_G = -2iM\mathcal{P}_- \quad , \quad M = T_q\ L \qquad (2.7)$$

with a D-string mass M, a D-string tension $T_q = \sqrt{(q^I)^2}$ and a string length L, and supersymmetry(SUSY) charges are projected into unbroken SUSY charges ($\mathcal{Q}$) and broken SUSY charges ($\mathcal{S}$)

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \mathcal{Q} & \equiv & Q\mathcal{P}_+ \\ \mathcal{S} & \equiv & Q\mathcal{P}_- \end{array} \right. \qquad (2.8)$$

in such a way that

$$\{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}\} = 0 = \{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S}\}\ ,\ \{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}\} \neq 0 \qquad (2.9)$$

then

$$\mathcal{Q}|0\rangle = 0\ ,\ \mathcal{S}|0\rangle \neq 0\ , \qquad (2.10)$$

for a ground state $|0\rangle$. The projection operators $\mathcal{P}_\pm$ defined as (2.7) become simple form[3] for a ground state in the static gauge

$$\mathcal{P}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{1}_{AB} \pm (\Gamma_{01})_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{\tau}_q)_{AB}\right) \quad , \quad \hat{\tau}_q = \tau_q/|\tau_q|. \qquad (2.11)$$

---

[3] Gamma matrices is denoted as $\Gamma^{m_1\cdots m_N} = \frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\text{antisymmetrized m}} \Gamma^{m_1}\cdots\Gamma^{m_N}$.

It is shown that the central extension (2.5) is possible only for broken SUSY

$$[\Sigma_m, \mathcal{S}_\alpha] = (Z\Gamma_m)_\alpha \quad , \tag{2.12}$$

since

$$[\Sigma_m, \mathcal{Q}_\alpha] = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

from the Jacobi identity of three Q's by using with (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}[\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}, \mathcal{Q}_\gamma] &= (C\Gamma^m\tau_I)_{\alpha\beta}\left[\Sigma^I_m, \mathcal{Q}_\gamma\right] \\ &= -\left[\{\mathcal{Q}_\gamma, Q_{(\alpha}\}, Q_{\beta)}\right] = 0\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

with $A_{(\alpha}B_{\beta)} = A_\alpha B_\beta + A_\beta B_\alpha$.

The algebra discussed above is summarized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll}\{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}\} = \{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S}\} = 0 & , \ \{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}\} = -2C\Xi_G & , \\ \left[\Sigma^I_m, \mathcal{Q}\right] = 0 & , \ \left[\Sigma^I_m, \mathcal{S}\right] = -Z^I\Gamma_m & , \\ \left[P_m, \mathcal{Q}\right] = 0 & , \ \left[P_m, \mathcal{S}\right] = 0 & .\end{array} \tag{2.15}$$

3 Gauge fixing and the equation of motion

We examine the equation of motion in a suitable gauge condition and will find the ground state solution to give the algebra (2.15). In addition to the static gauge, we impose the following gauge condition

$$\theta_+ \equiv \mathcal{P}_+\theta = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

by using the kappa invariance. The local superalgebra for a D-string is given by [19]

$$\begin{aligned}\{F_{A\alpha}(\sigma_1), F_{B\beta}(\sigma_2)\} &= 2(C\Xi)_{A\alpha\ B\beta}(\sigma_1)\delta(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \quad , \\ (\Xi)_{A\alpha\ B\beta} &= \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\ (\mathbf{1}_{AB}\tilde{p}_m - (\tau_q)_{AB}(\Pi_1)_m) \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F &= \zeta + \bar{\theta}(\tilde{p} - \not{\Pi}_1\tau_q) - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}\Gamma\theta' \cdot \bar{\theta}\Gamma\tau_q + \bar{\theta}\Gamma\tau_q\theta' \cdot \bar{\theta}\Gamma) \quad , \\ \tilde{p}_m &= p_m + \bar{\theta}\Gamma_m\tau_q\theta' \quad , \\ (\Pi_1)_m &= X'_m - \bar{\theta}\Gamma_m\theta' \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Ξ is a rank half and nilpotent operator so that a half of F are first class constraints $\tilde{F}_{A\alpha} \equiv (F\Xi)_{A\alpha} = 0$ generating the kappa symmetry and another half are second class. The counting of the physical degrees of freedom is following: The degrees of freedom of $\theta^{A\alpha}$ and $\zeta_{A\alpha}$ are $16 \times 2 \times 2$. The number of the second class constraints is 16. The number of the first class constraints is 16, and the one for the gauge fixing conditions is 16. So totally surviving degrees of freedom are $16\times 2\times 2-(16+16\times 2)=16$, so 8 θ's and 8 ζ's are physically dynamical variables.

For a ground state in the static gauge, the right hand side of the (3.2) becomes the same form of the unbroken SUSY projection operator $C\Xi=-2iM\mathcal{P}_-$ of (2.11). This coincidence occurs to guarantee the universal property, $\{Q,F\}=0$. In order to solve BPS fermionic solutions explicitly, we restrict ourself to discuss only on the ground state in the rest of this section. It should be noticed that the kappa projection is not $C\Xi$ but Ξ. The kappa symmetry is generated by

$$\int\tilde{F}\kappa'=\int F\Xi\kappa'=\int F\mathcal{P}_+(2M\Gamma^0\kappa')=\int F\mathcal{P}_+\kappa_+\quad,\tag{3.4}$$

therefore the kappa parameter is projected along the unbroken SUSY direction. The gauge condition (3.1) can be possible by using the kappa transformation with $\delta_\kappa\theta_+=\kappa_+=-\theta_+$.

Under the global translation, X_m is transformed as $\delta_a X_m=a_m$. Analogously under the global SUSY, θ_- is transformed $\delta_\epsilon\theta_-=\epsilon_-$, while $\theta_+=0$ is preserved by the kappa transformation $(\delta_\epsilon+\delta_\kappa)\theta_+=\epsilon_++\kappa_+$ with $\kappa_+=-\epsilon_+$. In this gauge X^m is transformed under the global SUSY and the kappa transformation

$$(\delta_\epsilon+\delta_\kappa)X^m\;=\;\bar{\epsilon}_-\Gamma^m\theta_-+\bar{\epsilon}_+\Gamma^m\theta_--\bar{\kappa}_+\Gamma^m\theta_-=\bar{\epsilon}_-\Gamma^m\theta_-+2\bar{\epsilon}_+\Gamma^m\theta_-\quad,\tag{3.5}$$

where ϵ_- is nothing but the broken SUSY parameter. Of course the static gauge is always recovered by the reparametrization invariance. The transverse coordinates X^i and θ_- are Nambu-Goldstone modes associated with the broken translation invariance and the broken supertranslation by a brane [20].

The action for a D-p-brane is

$$\begin{aligned}I\;&=\;-\int d^{p+1}\sigma\;L\;=\;-\int d^{p+1}\sigma\left[T\sqrt{-\det(G_{\mu\nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu})}+\mathcal{L}_{WZ}\right]\qquad(3.6)\\G_{\mu\nu}\;&=\;\Pi^m_\mu\Pi_{\nu m}\quad,\quad\Pi^m_\mu=\partial_\mu X^m-\bar{\theta}\Gamma^m\partial_\mu\theta\quad,\quad\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}=F_{\mu\nu}-\Omega^3_{[\mu\nu]}\\\Omega^I_{\mu\nu}\;&=\;-(\bar{\theta}\Gamma^m\tau^I\partial_\mu\theta)(\Pi_{\nu m}+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\Gamma_m\partial_\nu\theta)\qquad(I=1,3).\end{aligned}$$

In the fermionic gauge $\mathcal{P}_+\theta=\theta_+=0$ and the static gauge $X^\mu=\sigma^\mu$, $\mu=0,1,\cdots,p$, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\mu\nu}\;&=\;\eta_{\mu\nu}-\bar{\theta}_-\Gamma_{(\mu}\partial_{\nu)}\theta_-+(\bar{\theta}_-\Gamma^\rho\partial_\mu\theta_-)(\bar{\theta}_-\Gamma_\rho\partial_\nu\theta_-)+\partial_\mu\phi^i\partial_\nu\phi^i\qquad(3.7)\\q^I\Omega^I_{\mu\nu}\;&=\;-\bar{\theta}_-\Gamma_\nu\tau_q\partial_\mu\theta_-+\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}_-\Gamma^\rho\tau_q\partial_\mu\theta_-)(\bar{\theta}_-\Gamma_\rho\partial_\nu\theta_-)\end{aligned}$$

where ϕ^i, $i=p+1,\cdots,9$ are perpendicular modes to a p-brane. It is used that $[\mathcal{P}_\pm,C\Gamma^\mu]=0$, $\mathcal{P}_\pm(C\Gamma^i)=(C\Gamma^i)\mathcal{P}_\mp$ and $[\mathcal{P}_\pm,\tau_q]=0$. The expression of the Dirac-Born-Infeld part is available for all p. In this section we take D1 case as an example where the Wess-Zumino part is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{WZ}|_{p=1}\;=\;T\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\Omega^1_{\mu\nu}\quad,\tag{3.8}$$

and we will discuss general D-p-brane cases in the last section. Under an arbitrary variation of $\delta\theta_-$ it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta L &= -\frac{TG}{2\sqrt{-\det(G+\mathcal{F})}}G^{\mu\nu}\delta G_{\mu\nu} + q^I\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\delta\Omega^I_{\mu\nu} \qquad (3.9)\\
\delta G_{\mu\nu} &= -(\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma_{(\mu}\partial_{\nu)}\theta_- - \partial_{(\mu}\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma_{\nu)}\theta_-)\\
&\quad +2(\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma^\rho\partial_\mu\theta_-)(\bar\theta_-\Gamma_\rho\partial_\nu\theta_-) - 2(\partial_\mu\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma^\rho\theta_-)(\bar\theta_-\Gamma_\rho\partial_\nu\theta_-)\\
q^I\delta\Omega^I_{\mu\nu} &= -(\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma_\nu\tau_q\partial_\mu\theta_- - \partial_\mu\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma_\nu\tau_q\theta_-)\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}\{(\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma^\rho\tau_q\partial_\mu\theta_-)(\bar\theta_-\Gamma_\rho\partial_\nu\theta_-) - (\partial_\mu\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma^\rho\tau_q\theta_-)(\bar\theta_-\Gamma_\rho\partial_\nu\theta_-)\\
&\quad -(\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma^\rho\partial_\mu\theta_-)(\bar\theta_-\Gamma_\rho\tau_q\partial_\nu\theta_-) + (\partial_\mu\delta\bar\theta_-\Gamma^\rho\theta_-)(\bar\theta_-\Gamma_\rho\tau_q\partial_\nu\theta_-)\} \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

For a ground state where $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ are constant and terms in $O(\theta^3)$ vanishes, the equation of motion $\delta L/\delta\bar\theta_- = 0$ becomes quite simple

$$\Gamma_\mu(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \hat\tau_q\epsilon^{\mu\nu})\partial_\nu\theta_- = 0 \qquad (3.10)$$

where q^I are given by

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} q^{NS} &=& q^3 = E^1 = T\frac{\mathcal{F}_{01}}{\sqrt{-\det(G+\mathcal{F})}} \\ q^R &=& q^1 = T \end{array}\right. \qquad (3.11)$$

and we use $(q^I)^2 = T^2G/\det(G+\mathcal{F})$. If we take the following ground state ansatz

$$\theta_- = \sigma\Theta \qquad (3.12)$$

with a constant spinor Θ, then the equation of motion (3.10) reduces into

$$(\Gamma_1 - \hat\tau_q\Gamma_0)\partial_1\theta_- \;=\; \Gamma_1(1+\hat\tau_q\Gamma_{01})\Theta = 0 \quad . \qquad (3.13)$$

Then there exists nontrivial ground state solution of θ_- as the form of (3.12) with

$$\mathcal{P}_+\Theta = 0 \quad . \qquad (3.14)$$

The boundary term of the equation motion also allows the solution (3.12)

$$\Gamma_\mu(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \hat\tau_q\epsilon^{\mu\nu})\theta_-|_{\text{boundary}} = 0 \quad . \qquad (3.15)$$

As a result there can be a fermionic brane charge for this solution

$$Z^I_\alpha = q^I\int_{\sigma_I}^{\sigma_F} d\sigma\bar\theta'_{-\alpha} = q^I L\ \bar\Theta_\alpha|_{L\to\infty} \quad . \qquad (3.16)$$

It is natural to set the volume of Z to be infinity, since it is obtained from infinity volume brane charge Σ by the supertransformation.

The choice $\Theta = 0$ or θ_- =const. brings back to the usual Super-Poincaré algebra which is usually considered as a ground state solution.

4 Supergravity coupling

A D-string is described by the NS/NS and R/R worldvolume currents:

$$(J^I)^{nl}(x) \;=\; q^I\int d\tau d\sigma\;\partial_\tau X^{[n}\partial_\sigma X^{l]}\delta^{(10)}(x-X(\tau,\sigma)) \quad . \tag{4.1}$$

In this section we take a "nonrelativistic gauge" $X^0=\tau$ $(\partial_\tau X^0=1)$ [21] rather than the static gauge, in order to keep a spacial rotational symmetry. The static property, equally nonrelativistic property, of D-branes is assumed. For these objects the spacial covariance will be a suitable guiding principle to determine the background coupling. To preserve this gauge, additional restriction is imposed on θ_-:

$$\delta_{\epsilon_-}(\partial_\tau X^0-1)=\bar\epsilon_-\Gamma^0\partial_\tau\theta_-=0 \quad\Rightarrow\quad \partial_\tau\theta_-=0 \quad . \tag{4.2}$$

The worldvolume currents (4.1) are related to the brane charge as

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^9x(J^I)^{0m}(x) &= \int d^9x\; q^I\int d\tau d\sigma\;\partial_\tau X^0\partial_\sigma X^m\;\delta^{(9)}(x-X)\delta(x^0-\tau)\\ &= q^I\int d\sigma\;\partial_\sigma X^m\\ &= (\Sigma^I)^m(\tau)\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

in the nonrelativistic gauge.

The currents (4.1) are sources of two form gauge fields B^I_{mn}. In a flat background if we put a test D-brane, field equations for B^I_{mn} become

$$\partial^l(H^I)_{lmn}(x) \;=\; (J^I)_{mn}(x) \tag{4.4}$$

where H^I_{lmn} are fluctuations.

Now let us consider the broken supersymmetry transformation of (4.4). Because the brane charge $(\Sigma^I)^m$ transforms into the fermionic brane charge $(Z^I)^\alpha$ under the broken supersymmetry and because of (4.3), a bosonic brane current $(J^I)^{mn}$ also transforms into a fermionic brane current $(\mathcal{J}^I)^{m\alpha}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\epsilon_-}(J^I)^{mn}(x) &= q^I\int d\tau d\sigma\left(\partial_\tau\delta_{\epsilon_-}X^{[m}\partial_\sigma X^{n]}+\partial_\tau X^{[m}\partial_\sigma\delta_{\epsilon_-}X^{n]}\right)\delta^{(10)}(x-X)\\ &= -q^I\int d\tau d\sigma\;\partial_\tau X^{[m}\partial_\sigma\bar\theta_-\Gamma^{n]}\epsilon_-\delta^{(10)}(x-X)\\ &\equiv -(\mathcal{J}^I)^{[m|\alpha|}(x)(\Gamma^{n]}\epsilon_-)_\alpha \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

It is noted that under the broken supersymmetry brane coordinates transform into $\delta_{\epsilon_-}X^m=\bar\epsilon_-\Gamma^m\theta_-=-\bar\theta_-\Gamma^m\epsilon_-$. The fermionic currents $\mathcal{J}^{m\alpha}$

$$(\mathcal{J}^I)^{n\alpha}(x)=q^I\int d\tau d\sigma\;\partial_\tau X^n\partial_\sigma\bar\theta^\alpha_-\delta^{(10)}(x-X(\tau,\sigma)) \tag{4.6}$$

are also conserved currents

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_n(\mathcal{J}^I)^{n\alpha}(x) &= q^I\int d\tau d\sigma\ \partial_\tau X^n\partial_\sigma\bar{\theta}^\alpha_-\partial_n\delta^{(10)}(x-X(\tau,\sigma)) \\
&= -q^I\int d\tau d\sigma\ \partial_\sigma\bar{\theta}^\alpha_-(\frac{\partial X^n}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^n})\delta^{(10)}(x-X(\tau,\sigma)) \\
&= q^I\int d\tau d\sigma\ \partial_\tau\partial_\sigma\bar{\theta}^\alpha_-\delta^{(10)}(x-X(\tau,\sigma))=0\ \ ,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

and are related with the fermionic charges $(Z^I)^\alpha$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int d^9x(\mathcal{J}^I)^{0\alpha}(x) &= q^I\int d\sigma\ \partial_\sigma\bar{\theta}^\alpha_- \\
&= (Z^I)^\alpha(\tau)\ \ .
\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

If the right hand side of the equation (4.4) transforms under the broken supersymmetry of the brane, the left hand side should also transform. In another word the total supersymmetry charge is sum of the supergravity part and the brane part. Transformation rules of supergravity fields are given in [22], and

$$\delta_\epsilon(B^I)_{mn}=(2\bar{\psi}_{[m}\Gamma_{n]}-\bar{\lambda}\Gamma_{mn})\tau^I\epsilon,\tag{4.9}$$

where ψ is a gravitino and λ is a dilatino. In the first order of this test brane perturbation the background metric is not affected, since the energy momentum tensor which is a source of the metric contains square of H as the fluctuation. So gravitinos must be set to zero $\psi_m=0$ because $\delta_\epsilon e^a_m\sim\bar{\psi}_m\Gamma^a\epsilon=0$. In order to have nontrivial transformation rule of B^I_{mn} with the broken SUSY parameter ϵ_-, we will consider nonzero λ_+ so that $\delta B_{01}=-\bar{\lambda}_+\Gamma_{01}\tau\epsilon_-\neq 0$ as their fluctuations.

Under the broken global SUSY a dilatino does not transform $\delta_\epsilon\lambda\sim(\partial\phi,H)\cdot\Gamma\epsilon=0$ in a flat background $(\partial\phi=0,H=0)$. Then the left hand side of (4.4) transforms into

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\epsilon_-}\partial^l(H^I)_{lmn} &= \Box\delta_{\epsilon_-}(B^I)_{mn} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(\Box\bar{\lambda}_+\Gamma_{[m}\tau^I)\Gamma_{n]}\epsilon_-
\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

in the Lorentz gauge $\partial^m B_{mn}=0$. As a result of (4.5) and (4.10), under the broken SUSY (4.4) transforms into

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\Box\bar{\lambda}_+\tau^I\Gamma^n\right)^\alpha(x)=(\mathcal{J}^I)^{n\alpha}(x)\ \ .\tag{4.11}$$

Therefore this new fermionic brane charge becomes a source of dilatino fields.

It is also confirmed that another current does not follow. Even if one more broken supersymmetry is performed on the fermionic brane current, it vanishes by (4.2)

$$\delta_{\epsilon_-}(\mathcal{J}^I)^{n\alpha}=q^I\int\partial_\tau\bar{\theta}^\beta_-\partial_\sigma\bar{\theta}^\alpha_-\ \delta^{(10)}(x-X)\ (\Gamma^n\epsilon_-)_\beta=0\ \ .\tag{4.12}$$

This concludes that a D-string action allows the fermionic brane currents coupled with some modes of dilatino fields as well as the usual brane current coupling $B_{mn}J^{mn}$, when a D-string has a nontrivial fermionic boundary condition.

5 Discussions

Generalization to arbitrary D-p-branes is straightforward. The global supersymmetry and the local supersymmetry algebras for D-p-branes are given in [12, 13], as the same form as (2.1) and (3.2). The projection (2.11) has the form of

$$\mathcal{P}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \hat{\Gamma}) \quad , \tag{5.1}$$

and $\hat{\Gamma}$ is replaced by suitable p-brane projection $\Gamma_{01\cdots p}\hat{\tau}_p$. For example $\hat{\Gamma} = \Gamma_0\Gamma_{11}$ for D0, $\hat{\Gamma} = \Gamma_0\Gamma_1\Gamma_2$ for D2 and so on. By using with these projection operators, global supersymmetry charges are separated into broken supersymmetries and unbroken supersymmetries. Breaking 16 supersymmetry, $\mathcal{S}|0\rangle \neq 0$, gives rise to 16 Nambu-Goldstone fermions $\theta_- = \mathcal{P}_-\theta$. Half of this, i.e. 8 θ_-'s are dynamical and the rest are non-dynamical by the equations of motion in the excited states. Another set of 16 fermionic coordinates are gauged away $\theta_+ = \mathcal{P}_+\theta = 0$ by using the kappa symmetry generated by the first class constraints $\tilde{F} = 0$.

Equations of motions can be analyzed analogously. In the same static gauge fixing condition $\mathcal{P}_+\theta = 0$ of (3.1) with (5.1) and $X^\mu = \sigma^\mu$, equations of motions are given as

$$\Gamma_\mu \left(\eta^{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\rho_1\cdots\rho_{p-1}\nu}\Gamma_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_{p-1}}(\hat{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}})/(p-1)! \right) \partial_\nu \theta_- = 0 \quad , \tag{5.2}$$

and the nontrivial ground state solution of (3.12) can be extended as

$$\theta_- = \sum_l \sigma_l \Theta_l \quad (l = 1, \cdots, p) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{P}_+\Theta_l = 0 \quad . \tag{5.3}$$

For D-p-brane cases, brane charges are p-rank tensors. Each commutator with the broken supersymmetry charges replaces a vector index of the brane charges by a spinor index. When θ_- has nontrivial solution of (5.3), totally $p+1$ kinds of brane charges with vector indices or spinor indices have nonzero values. For example a D2 brane carries totally 3 kinds of brane charges, among which 2 kinds of bosonic brane charges Σ_{mn} and $Z_{\alpha\beta}$ are obtained in the static gauge as

$$\Sigma_{12} = TL^2 \quad , \quad Z_{\alpha\beta} = TL^2 \bar{\Theta}_{1(\alpha}\, \bar{\Theta}_{2\beta)} \quad , \tag{5.4}$$

and 1 kind of fermionic brane charges $Z_{m\alpha}$ are obtained as

$$Z_{1\alpha} = TL^2\bar{\Theta}_{2\alpha} \quad , \quad Z_{2\alpha} = -TL^2\bar{\Theta}_{1\alpha} \quad . \tag{5.5}$$

The supergravity coupling will follow the argument of the section 4 replacing B_{mn} by a $p+1$-rank gauge field $C_{m_1\cdots m_{p+1}}$ for a D-p-brane respectively.

It is curious that considering that the equation (4.11) is second order although usual equation of motion for a spinor field is first order. Even in a flat background this fermionic brane current will couple to some mode of dilatino. So the coupling will be with the dilaton potential mode $(\not{D}/\Box)\lambda$ or nonlocal. Further studies are necessary to clarify these points and to apply many other systems.

Acknowledgments

M.H. wishes to thank Joaquim Gomis, Ken-ji Hamada, Nobuyuki Ishibashi and Shunya Mizoguchi for fruitful discussions, and M.S. would like to express his gratitude to Hiroshi Kunitomo and wishes to thank the theory group of KEK for the kind hospitality. We also thank Kiyoshi Kamimura for helpful discussions, and Mitsuko Abe and Nathan Berkovits for a question "what is Z_α?" which is our motivation of this work.

References

[1] E.Witten. Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85, hep-th/9503124.

[2] C.M.Hull, Nucl. Phys. **B509** (1998) 216, hep-th/9705162.

[3] P.K.Townsend, *Cargese 1997, Strings, branes and dualities* 141-177, hep-th/9712004.

[4] M.B.Green, Phys. Lett. **B223** (1989) 157.

[5] W.Siegel, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 2799, hep-th/9403144.

[6] J.A.de Azcarraga and P.K.Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** (1989) 2579.

[7] E.Bergshoeff and E.Sezgin, Phys. Lett. **B354** (1995) 256, hep-th/9504140;
E.Sezgin, Phys. Lett. **B392** (1997) 323, hep-th/9609086.

[8] M.Sakaguchi, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 046007, hep-th/9809113; JHEP 9902:017 (1999), hep-th/9811143.

[9] M.Abe, M.Hatsuda, K.Kamimura and T.Tokunaga, Nucl. Phys. **B553** (1999) 305, hep-th/9903234.

[10] C.Chryssomalakos, J.A.de Azcarraga, J.M.Izquierdo, J.C.Perez Bueno, "*The geometry of branes and extended superspaces*", hep-th/9904137.

[11] M.Sakaguchi, "*IIB Branes and new space-time superalgebras*", hep-th/9909143.

[12] K.Kamimura and M.Hatsuda, Nucl. Phys. **B527** (1998) 381, hep-th/9712068.

[13] M.Hatsuda and K.Kamimura, Nucl. Phys. **B535** (1998) 499, hep-th/9804087.

[14] J.Wess and J.Bagger, *"Supersymmetry and supergravity"* (1982) Princeton University Press.

[15] L.Brink, J.H.Schwarz and J.Scherk, Nucl. Phys. **B121** (1977) 77;

W.Siegel, Phys.Lett.**B80** (1979) 220.

[16] W.Siegel, Nucl. Phys. **B263** (1985) 93.

[17] J.A.de Azcarraga, J.M.Izquierdo and P.K.Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B267** (1991) 366.

[18] A.Dabholkar, G.Gibbons, J.A.Harrey and F.Ruiz Ruiz, Nucl. Phys. **B340** (1990) 33.

[19] M.Hatsuda and K.Kamimura, Nucl. Phys. **B520** (1998) 493, hep-th/9708001.

[20] J.Hughes and J.Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. **B278** (1986) 147.

[21] M.Hatsuda, S.Yahikozawa, P.Ao and D.J.Thouless, Phys. Rev. **B49** (1994) 15870, cond-mat/9309018.

[22] J.H.Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B226** (1983) 269.